

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/893,740	DOHERTY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mohammad A. Siddiqi	2154	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowance

(1) Mohammad A. Siddiqi.

(3) _____

(2) Mark K. Kendrick.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

JOHN FOLLANSBEE
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPF Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed to cancel the claims 81,85-88,91, and 95, and also amend the claimsto include "setting an indicator identifying that this boot sequence is being made to a management server, a first virtual local area network (VLAN) including the client device; the client sets an indicator identifying that this boot sequence is being made to a PXE server" ,in order to make application in better condition for allowance. Applicant agreed..